DEAR EDITOR,

About the article titled “Peer Review Process: The Quality and Duration in Iranian Medical Journal” that has been published in your journal [1] I want to add something as my own experience. For many authors like me there is very important that editor spend some time for review the manuscript and feedback me by enough reason and comments. In fact I have sent some manuscripts to some journals, after 3 or 4 weeks (sometimes even more) I have received an Email and notified me that “Unfortunately your manuscript is not proper and could not be published”. It is not acceptable for me and any other researchers and authors. The minimum demand after a submission and waiting is to receive some scientific comments while the goal of peer review is to improve the quality of the manuscript that if a manuscript get rejected from a journal, it could be publish in another journal after applying the previous comments [2, 3].

At the present days some authors welling to submit their manuscript to the journals with shorter review duration and more scientific comments. Unfortunately in my country Iran many scientific journals has been changed their scope to the financial scopes. Many of journals will review on time only articles that paid fast track service fee. This kind of behaviors has been decreased the goal of scientific publication in Iran.
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